# 法政大学学術機関リポジトリ

**HOSEI UNIVERSITY REPOSITORY** 

PDF issue: 2025-01-15

## ELECTRICAL PROPERTIES OF Al\_2O\_3 INCORPOLATED CeO\_2 THIN FILMS DEPOSITED BY RF MAGNETRON SPUTTERING

Gyougyoku, Y. / Ishibashi, K. / Konishi, J. / Suzuki, S / Goto, T. / Tamura, T. / Yamamoto, Y.

(出版者 / Publisher) 法政大学イオンビーム工学研究所

(雑誌名 / Journal or Publication Title) PROCEEDINGS OF THE 35th SYMPOSIUM ON MATERIALS SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER OF ION BEAM TECHNOLOGY HOSEI UNIVERSITY (December 7, 2016)

(巻 / Volume) 35 (開始ページ / Start Page) 31 (終了ページ / End Page) 36 (発行年 / Year) 2017-02 (URL)

https://doi.org/10.15002/00030374

### **ELECTRICAL PROPERTIES OF Al2O<sup>3</sup> INCORPOLATED CeO<sup>2</sup> THIN FILMS DEPOSITED BY RF MAGNETRON SPUTTERING**

# T.Goto**\*\*** , J.Konishi**\*\*** , Y.Gyougyoku**\*\*** , T.Tamura**\*\*** S.Suzuki**\*\*\***, K.Ishibashi**\*\*\***, Y.Yamamoto**\***

**\*** *Faculty of Science and Engineering., Hosei University, 3-7-2 Kajino, Koganei, Tokyo, 184-8584, JAPAN*

**\*\*** *Graduate school of Sci. & Eng., Hosei University, 3-7-2 Kajino, Koganei, Tokyo, 184-8585, JAPAN*

**\*\*\*** *Comet Inc., 5-9-5 Toukoudai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 300-2635, JAPAN*

CeO<sub>2</sub> films incorporated with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> oxide (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> molar fraction of 0.0 ~ 0.6 ) were deposited on p-type Si (1-10  $\Omega$ cm) substrate by RF magnetron sputtering using  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  targets in the Ar ambient with 10 %  $O<sub>2</sub>$ introduction. The deposition was carried out using the combinatorial mask system. The annealing was performed in  $N_2$  or  $O_2$  at 500°C for 30 minutes. For the  $N_2$  annealed sample with the  $Al_2O_3$  molar fraction of 0.2, the minimum leakage current density of 1.6  $\times$  10<sup>-8</sup> A/cm<sup>2</sup> was obtained at the electric field of 1 MV/cm, which was much lower than that of the film without the  $Al_2O_3$  incorporation by two orders of magnitude. The variation of the flat band voltage as a function of the incorporated  $Al_2O_3$  molar fraction suggests the fixed positive charge generation. The generation was caused by the formation of lower Ce oxide in the film and/or the lower oxide of Si diffused from the substrate into the film.

#### **I. Introduction**

With the scaling down of MOS devices, the gate dielectrics thickness were getting thinner down giving rise to increase of leakage current density through the gate dioxide. Due to this increase, conventional silicon dioxide  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$  gate electric limits the reliance of MOS devices. The demand for high-k (high dielectric constant) materials has increased in order to keep the insulator oxide thickness without reduction of the gate capacitance for advanced MOS devices (1-5).

In order to solve this problem, we replaced  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  gate dielectric with CeO<sub>2</sub> as a high-k gate dielectric material.  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  has the following merits for silicon device application: high dielectric constant of 26, chemical stability, the compatibility with Si regarding crystal structure and lattice mismatch of 0.35%.

<sup>#</sup> e-mail: tatsuya.goto.2i@stu.hosei.ac.jp

Even in the deposition by the sputtering, however,  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  has a strong tendency to crystallize at room temperature. The grain boundary in the crystallized gate oxide give rise to increase in the gate leakage density as well as difficulty in the micro patterning in the course of LSI production (6-10). In our previous study, we tried the mixing of crystals with different structures to suppress  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  film crystallization (11). We found that 10%  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  incorporation into the CeO<sub>2</sub> thin film kept samples amorphous after annealing at  $500^{\circ}$ C in N<sub>2</sub>.

In this work, we prepare the  $Al_2O_3$  incorporated  $CeO_2$  thin films  $(Al_2O_3$  molar fraction of  $0.0 \sim 0.6$ ) and evaluate the electrical properties of the samples as a function of the  $Al_2O_3$  composition and optimal value of  $Al_2O_3$  molar fraction.

#### **II. Experimental**

Using the RF magnetron sputtering with the combinatorial mask system, we prepared the  $Al_2O_3$  incorporated  $CeO_2$  thin films. The  $Al_2O_3$  molar fraction ranged from 0.0 to 0.6 against  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  in the samples. Figure 1 illustrates the process of preparing the combinatorial thin films with the moving mask system. At the first step, the  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  sheet layer with 0.16nm thick was deposited. At the second step, by the moving mask a wedge shaped  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  layer with 0 to 0.24 nm thick was deposited. At the third step, a wedge shaped  $Al_2O_3$  layer deposition with 0 to 0.24 nm thick by the mask moving to the counter direction. Through these Step.1 to 3, as 1 cycle, 0.4 nm thick film was formed. The process was repeated 80 times, resulting in the total film thickness of 32 nm. The deposition conditions are summarized in TABLE I.



**Figure 1** The process of preparing the combinatorial thin film. Through the Step.1 $\sim$  3, 0.4 nm thick film was formed for 1 cycle.

	111DLC 1 <b>Deposition conditions</b>
Target	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (50.8 mm $\Phi \times 1$ mm t)
	CeO <sub>2</sub> (50.8 mm $\Phi \times 4$ mm t)
Substrate	p-Si $(1-10 \Omega cm)$
Background pressure	$< 2 \times 10$ -5 Pa
Sputtering pressure	$0.5$ Pa
Gas flow	Ar $45$ sccm $(90\% )$
	$O_2$ 5 sccm (10 %)
RF power/Deposition rate	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> : RF 200 W / 0.00216 nm / s
	$CeO2$ : RF 150 W / 0.00631 nm / s
Temperature	R.T.

**TABLE I** Deposition conditions

The prepared sample was divided into two pieces. One was annealed in  $N_2$  and the other in  $O_2$ . Both two samples were annealed at 500 °C for 30 minutes. After annealing, Pt dot electrodes were formed on the surface of the sample by sputtering using the metal mask with openings of the 100 μm in diameter. The electrical properties were characterized from I-V and C-V measurements.

#### **III. Results and Discussion**

Figure 2 (a) (b) shows the I-V characteristics of the  $N_2$  annealed sample as a function of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> molar fraction. The ranges of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> molar fraction are  $0.0 \sim 0.1$ (a), and  $0.2 \sim 0.6$  (b), respectively.



**Figure 2** I-V characteristics of the  $N_2$  annealed sample as a function of the  $Al_2O_3$ molar fraction between 0.0 and 0.1 (a), and 0.2 and 0.6 (b).

With the increase in the  $Al_2O_3$  molar fraction, the leakage current density for the  $Al_2O_3$  molar fraction below 0.1 was decreased, while that for the  $Al_2O_3$  molar fraction above 0.2 was increased. The increase for the  $Al_2O_3$  molar fraction above 0.2 was probably due to the increase of the defects in the film with a large content of  $Al_2O_3$ .

The bending point appeared around -4  $\sim$  -3 MV/cm and -3  $\sim$  -2 MV/cm for the  $Al_2O_3$  molar fraction above 0.2, and furthermore, the additional bending point appeared near -1MV/cm for the  $Al_2O_3$  molar fraction of 0.5 and 0.6.

Figure 3 shows the leakage current density for the samples annealed in  $N_2$  and  $O_2$  at the applied electric field of -1 MV/cm (gate voltage = 3.2 V) as a function of the  $Al_2O_3$ molar fraction. The leakage current densities of the samples annealed in  $N_2$  and  $O_2$  were once reduced to 1.6  $\times$  10<sup>-8</sup> and 9.1  $\times$  10<sup>-8</sup> A/cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively. The former value was low compared with that of the films without  $Al_2O_3$  incorporation by two orders of magnitude. At the  $Al_2O_3$  molar fraction of 0, the leakage current densities of the sample annealed in  $O_2$  were lower than that of the sample annealed in  $N_2$ , just as widely known behavior. On the other hand, for the  $Al_2O_3$  molar fraction above 0.05, the leakage current densities of the sample annealed in  $O<sub>2</sub>$  were higher than that of the sample annealed in  $N_2$ . Further investigation is needed to explain these behaviors.



**Figure 3** The leakage current density of the samples annealed in  $N_2$  and  $O_2$  at the applied electric field of -1 MV/cm as a function of the  $Al_2O_3$  molar fraction.

Figure 4 shows the variation of (a) the dielectric constant, (b) the flat band voltage  $V_{fb}$ , (c) the fixed charge density  $Q_f$ , which are derived from the measured C-V data as a function of the molar fraction of  $Al_2O_3$  incorporation.

As shown in Fig. 4 (a), during the increased  $Al_2O_3$  molar fraction from 0.0 to 0.15, the dielectric constants of the sample annealed in  $O_2$  quickly decreased from 16 to 6, but were almost independent of the  $Al_2O_3$  molar fraction of 0.15.

Since the aluminum oxide is generally known gave rise to the negative charges in the films, the flat band voltage  $V_{fb}$  should have moved to the higher voltages with the increase of incorporated Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (12). However, Fig. 4 (b) shows that the  $V_{fb}$  shifted toward lower voltages on the whole with the  $Al_2O_3$  incorporation. This variation toward lower voltages suggests the increase of the fixed positive charge in the films with the increase of the  $A_1O_3$  incorporation. The positive generation of the fixed positive charge is due to two causes: one is the generation of lower Ce oxide in the film and/or the other is the lower oxide of Si defused from the substrate into the films.

As shown in Fig. 4 (c), the fixed charge density  $Q_f$  was rapidly decreased to around  $1.5 \times 10^{12}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> with the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> molar fraction up to 0.15, followed by the slight increase with the increase of  $A1_2O_3$  incorporation. This variation was similar to that of the dielectric constants in Fig. 4 (a). For the sample annealed in  $O_2$  the both  $Q_f$  and the flat band voltage  $V_{fb}$  in Fig. 4 (b) were low compared with those of the sample annealed in  $N_2$ . This behavior suggests that the amount of crystal defects was greater in the films annealed in  $O_2$  than those annealed in a  $N_2$ .

It is considered that complicated variation in I-V and C-V characteristics of  $Al_2O_3$ incorporated  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  films was caused by the lower Ce oxide in the film or the lower oxide of Si defused from the substrate into the film.



**Figure 4** The variation of (a) the dielectric constant, (b) the flat band voltage  $V_{fb}$ , (c) the fixed charge density  $Q_f$ , derived from the measured C-V data as a function of the incorporated  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  molar fraction and the annealing ambient.

#### **IV. Summary**

Using the combinatorial mask system, the  $Al_2O_3$  incorporated  $CeO_2$  thin films (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> molar fraction of 0.0 ~ 0.6) were prepared on p-type Si (1-10  $\Omega$ cm) substrate by means of the RF magnetron sputtering using  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  targets in Ar + 10%  $O<sub>2</sub>$ .

For the samples annealed in the  $N_2$  ambient with the  $Al_2O_3$  molar fraction of 0.2, the leakage current density of 1.6  $\times$  10<sup>-8</sup> A/cm<sup>2</sup>, obtained at the applied electric field of -1 MV/cm, was lower than that of the films without  $Al_2O_3$  incorporation by two orders of magnitude. However, for the sample annealed in  $O_2$  with the  $Al_2O_3$  molar fraction of 0.05, the minimum leakage current density of  $9.1 \times 10^{-8}$  A/cm<sup>2</sup> was obtained.

The flat band voltage  $V_{fb}$  shifted toward lower voltages on the whole with the increase of incorporated  $Al_2O_3$ . This variation suggests the increase of the fixed positive charge in the films with the increase of the  $Al_2O_3$  incorporation. The generation of the fixed positive charge was caused by the generation of lower Ce oxide in the film and/or the lower oxide of Si defused from the substrate into the films. It was found that the  $Al_2O_3$  incorporation into CeO<sub>2</sub> film was effective in reducing the leakage current density, but this treatment caused complicated behavior in electrical properties.

#### **References**

- 1) Wei Wang, Ning Gu, J. P. Sun and P. Mazumder, *Solid-State Electronics*, **50**, 1489-1494 (2006).
- 2) Dedong Han, Jinfeng Kang, Changhai Lin and Ruqi Han, *Microelectronis Engineering*, **66**, 643-647 (2003).
- 3) M. Suzuki, *Materials* 2012 **5**(3), 443 (2012)
- 4) T. Ando, *Materials* 2012 **5**(3), 478 (2012).
- 5) S. Dueñas, H. Castán, H. García, and L. Bailón, *Dielectric Material*, edited by M. A. Silaghi pp. 213-250 (InTech, 2012).
- 6) H. Y. Lee, S. I. Kim, Y. P. Hong, Y. C. Lee, Y. H. Park, and K. H. Ko, *Surface and Coatings Technology* **173**, 224 (2003).
- 7) H.Y. Lee, Y.C.Lee, Y.P.Hong, and K.H.Ko, *Applied Surface Science*, 164 (2004).
- 8) N. Yamada, Y.Oyama, T.Higuchi, and S.Yamaguchi, *Solid State Ionics* (2004).
- 9) Y. Wang, F. Wei, S. Yue, Z. Yang, and J. Du, *Appl. Phys. Lett*. 012915 (2008).
- 10) Y. Nishikawa, N. Fukushima, N. Yasuda, K. Nakayama, and S. Ikegawa, *Jap. J. Appl. Phys*. **41**, 2480 (2002).
- 11) H. Y. Yu, M. F. Li, B. J. Cho, C. C. Yeo, M. S. Joo, D. L. Kwong, J. S. Pan, C. H. Ang, J. Z. Zheng, and S. Ramanathan, *Appl. Phys. Lett*. **81**, 376 (2002).
- 12) K. Kita and A. Toriumi, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **94**, 132902 (2009).